

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation into the adequacy of the Southern California Gas Company's and San Diego Gas & Electric Company's (SDG&E) gas transmission systems to serve the present and future gas requirements of the SDG&E's core and noncore customers.

Investigation 00-11-002  
(Filed November 2, 2000)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING  
GRANTING MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GENERATION  
COALITION TO SUPPLEMENT PHASE II RECORD**

**SUMMARY**

This ruling grants the motion of Southern California Generation Coalition (SCGC) to supplement the record in Phase II of Order Instituting Investigation (I.) 00-11-002.

**DISCUSSION**

On October 1, 2001, SCGC filed a motion to supplement the record in Phase II of this proceeding by the addition of a document Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) submitted in its Biennial Cost Allocation Proceeding (BCAP) filed on September 21, 2001. The gravamen of SCGC's motion is that in this proceeding, I. 00-11-002, SoCalGas has argued that the language in its Schedule GT-F allows it to limit full requirement service where capacity is constrained; in its BCAP, SoCalGas is seeking to revise the language of Schedule GT-F to make the tariff clear that SoCalGas may limit service when capacity is

constrained. SCGC seeks the admission of the revised Schedule GT-F in I. 00-11-002.

SCGC's members include the Imperial Irrigation District.<sup>1</sup> In I.00-11-002, SoCalGas seeks approval of Advice Letter Nos. 3002 and 3029. Through these letters, SoCalGas seeks to alter its Schedule GT-F to eliminate the full requirements service option for noncore customers in the Imperial and San Joaquin Valleys. Imperial Irrigation District desires firm, full service and SCGC argues that Schedule GT-F clearly requires SoCalGas to provide firm, full requirements service to customers electing that service option. Alternately, SCGC argues that if the language of Schedule GT-F is ambiguous, it must be construed in the way most favorable to the customer—in this case SCGC's member Imperial Irrigation District. SCGC has consistently advanced this position in response to the Advice Letters, in the briefs filed in I.00-11-002, and in this present motion. SCGC hopes that the admission of the revised Schedule GT-F will bolster its position.

On October 11, 2001, SoCalGas filed its opposition to SCGC's motion. SoCalGas's main point in opposition to the motion is that SCGC is merely attempting to improperly continue arguments already presented to the Commission in this proceeding. Furthermore, SoCalGas contends that the proposed revised language to Schedule GT-F is an attempt to have the tariff accurately reflect the new firm service offering described in the BCAP testimony.

---

<sup>1</sup> The members of SCGC are the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, the cities of Burbank, Glendale, and Pasadena, the Imperial Irrigation District, Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc., and Williams Energy Services Company.

SoCalGas views the proposed change to Schedule GT-F as simply a statement of fact, and requests that the Commission deny SCGC's motion.

The Commission agrees with SoCalGas that the proposed modification to Schedule GT-F set forth in the BCAP testimony is simply a statement of fact, and does not constitute, on its face, an admission against SoCalGas's interest in I. 00-11-002. In addition, the BCAP is a public filing, and the proposed changes to Schedule GT-F are readily available to any interested party.

The Commission, therefore, will allow the admission of the revised Schedule GT-F in this proceeding for informational purposes only. There will be no further briefing allowed on the document. The document will be marked as next-in-order for SCGC.

**IT IS RULED** that Southern California Generation Coalition's motion to supplement the record in Phase II of this proceeding is granted.

/s/CAROL A. BROWN  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol Brown  
Administrative Law Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Granting Motion of Southern California Generation Coalition to Supplement Phase II Record on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated October 25, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MAUREEN S. LITTLE

Maureen S. Little

**N O T I C E**

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

\*\*\*\*\*

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074 or TTY# 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.